

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,632	10/13/2000	Jean-Pierre Tahon	4907/Oconalign	8441
6858 7	590 11/22/2004		EXAMINER	
BREINER & BREINER 115 NORTH HENRY STREET			HON, SOW FUN	
P. O. BOX 19290			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		1772	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/689,632	TAHON ET AL.	TH		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sow-Fun Hon	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 11/02/04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	tion in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one tele later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: 4.5,7-12,14 and 17.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> .					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel	oved or b)☐ disapproved by th	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. Other:	, , , _				
•					

Application/Control Number: 09/689,632

Art Unit: 1772

## Advisory Action

- 1. The request for reconsideration has been entered and considered but does not overcome the rejection for the reasons set forth below.
- 2. Applicant argues that the primary reference Escher does not disclose polythiophenes according to Applicant's claimed formula (I) in which  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  together form an O-[C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> alkylene]-O group or an O-[cycloalkylene]-O group; and that although Escher had the option to claim identical substituents for  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  in *formula (I)* of Escher, as for  $R^3$  and  $R^4$  in *formula (II)* of Escher, the fact that Escher did not do so infers that they had reason to believe that the polymers are outside the scope of *formula (I)* but within the scope of *formula (II)*.

Applicant is respectfully reminded that the mere disclosure of the electrically conducting polymers of *formula (II)* right after *formula (I)* is indicative that *formula (II)* may be used as an alternate in place of preferred *formula (I)*. Escher does not teach against the use of the electrically conducting polymers of *formula (II)*. Instead, Escher teaches the use of *formula (II)* wherein R<sup>3</sup> and R<sup>4</sup> are disclosed by DE-A 3,717,668 (column 2, lines 35-55) immediately after *formula (I)*. US 5,286,414 (Kämpf) is the US equivalent of DE-A 3,717,668. Kämpf teaches that the polythiophene has the thiophene monomer formula below:

in which

 $R^1$  denotes a  $C_1$ - $C_{12}$  alkoxy group or -O( $CH_{n2}C$ - $H_2O)_nCH_3$  where n=1 to 4 and

R<sup>2</sup> denotes a hydrogen atom, a C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>12</sub> alkyl group, a C<sub>1</sub>-C<sub>12</sub>-alkoxy group, or -O(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> where n=1 to 4, or

R<sup>1</sup> together with R<sup>2</sup> represents  $-O(CH_2)_m-CH_2$ —or  $-O(CH_2)_m-O$ —, in which m is 1 to 12.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/689,632

Art Unit: 1772

wherein  $R^I$  and  $R^2$  occupy the same positions of Applicant's  $-O-R^1$  and  $-O-R^2$  of on the thiophene ring. Kämpf teaches that  $R^I$  and  $R^2$  together represent  $-O-(CH_2)_m-O-$  where m is 1 to 12 (column 2, lines 35-55), which encompasses the claimed limitation that Applicant's  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  together represent a  $C_1-C_4$  alkylene group where m is 1 to 4.

The incorporation of DE-A 3,717,668 into the summary (not the background) of the invention of Escher is motivation enough for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to refer to it for alternate derivatives of the electrically conductive polythiophene used in the orienting layers.

3. Applicant argues that Escher provides no suggestion or indication that polythiophenes, other than those disclosed in *formula (I)* could also exhibit similar properties.

Applicant is respectfully reminded that Escher teaches the use of *formula (II)* wherein R<sup>3</sup> and R<sup>4</sup> are disclosed by DE-A 3,717,668 (column 2, lines 35-55) immediately after *formula (I)*, as monomers from which electrically conductive polymers are derived (column 2, lines 35-55). Therefore Escher does suggest that the polythiophenes disclosed in *formula (II)* could exhibit similar properties as *formula (II)*.

4. Applicant argues that while Applicant does not refute the fact that one skilled in the art would look up these references, Applicant disputes what is in the teaching that one skilled in the art would seek from these references since the fact that Escher failed to claim identical substituents for  $R^I$  and  $R^2$  in *formula (I)* as for  $R^3$  and  $R^4$  in *formula (II)*, means that Escher had reason to believe that the polymers derived from *formula (II)* did not function as orienting layers in liquid crystal displays or were unknown.

Application/Control Number: 09/689,632

Art Unit: 1772

Applicant is respectfully reminded that the primary reference Escher teaches the use of *formula (II)* wherein R<sup>3</sup> and R<sup>4</sup> are disclosed by DE-A 3,717,668 (column 2, lines 35-55) immediately after *formula (I)*, as monomers from which electrically conductive polymers are derived (column 2, lines 30-40), prefaced by the teaching of the use of electrically conductive polymers in an orienting layer for a liquid crystal display (column 2, lines 5-15). Therefore Escher does suggest that the polythiophenes disclosed in *formula (II)* could exhibit similar properties as *formula (I)* when introduced into an orienting layer for a liquid crystal display (column 2, lines 5-15). Escher is silent about whether or not polymers derived from *formula (II)* did not function as orienting layers in liquid crystal displays or were unknown.

5. Applicant argues that one skilled in the art would only look upon DE-A 3,717,668 to seek information about the preparation, stability and electrical conductivity of the polymers.

Applicant is respectfully reminded that the primary reference Escher teaches the use of *formula (II)* wherein R<sup>3</sup> and R<sup>4</sup> are disclosed by DE-A 3,717,668 (column 2, lines 35-55) immediately after *formula (I)*, as monomers from which electrically conductive polymers are derived (column 2, lines 30-40), prefaced by the teaching of the use of electrically conductive polymers in an orienting layer for a liquid crystal display (column 2, lines 5-15). Therefore Escher does suggest that the polythiophenes disclosed in *formula (II)* could exhibit similar properties as *formula (I)* when introduced into an orienting layer for a liquid crystal display.

6. Applicant argues that the options for the substituents in *formula (II)* of Escher cannot have greater scope than those for *formula (I)* of Escher.

Applicant is again respectfully reminded that the disclosure by Escher of *formula (II)* wherein R<sup>3</sup> and R<sup>4</sup> are disclosed by DE-A 3,717,668 (column 2, lines 35-55) immediately after

formula (I), as monomers from which electrically conductive polymers are derived (column 2, lines 35-55), combined with the teaching of the use of electrically conductive polymers in an orienting layer for a liquid crystal display (column 2, lines 5-15), is sufficient motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have used the alternate polythiophene monomers disclosed by DE-A 3,717,668, as part of routine experimentation to obtain the desired orienting layer for the desired display.

7. Applicant argues that Escher teaches away from the use of *formula (II)* as an alternate to *formula (I)* due to Escher opting for narrower definitions for  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  than for  $R^3$  and  $R^4$ .

Applicant is respectfully reminded that Escher does not teach away from the use of *formula (II)* as an alternate to *formula (I)*. Instead, Escher teaches *formula (II)* wherein R<sup>3</sup> and R<sup>4</sup> are disclosed by DE-A 3,717,668 (column 2, lines 35-55) immediately after *formula (I)*, as monomers from which electrically conductive polymers are derived (column 2, lines 30-35), prefaced by the teaching of the use of electrically conductive polymers in an orienting layer for a liquid crystal display (column 2, lines 5-15). Therefore Escher does not preclude one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, from having checked the alternate *formula (II)* out for an alternate display requiring an electrically conductive polymer with alternate parameters.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sow-Fun Hon whose telephone number is (571)272-1492. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached at (571)272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sow-Fun Hon

S. How

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6